

In re  
CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN  
  
Debtor

Hon. Steven W. Rhodes

2014 JUN 18 P 1:21  
U.S. BANKRUPTCY  
EAST MICHIGAN DISTRICT  
DETROIT

7. The City of Detroit has not provided one iota of evidence challenging the validity of the "Claim", which the City of Detroit admits it must do in order to shift the burden of persuasion from the City of Detroit to Claimant. See Paragraph 19 of the City of Detroit Objection.

8. If the burden of persuasion somehow shifted to Claimant, due process mandates that this Court must allow Claimant opportunity to meet the burden of persuasion.


9. The valuation of the Subject Property submitted by Claimant is sufficient. According MCL 213.61(2) Claimant is permitted to testify to the value of Claimant's property.

10. LaJeff Woodberry seeks to preserve his right to adjudicate the "Claim" post Chapter 9 bankruptcy proceedings.

13. Oral argument is not requested.


For the reasons stated above, Claimant, LaJeff Woodberry, appearing in pro per, ask this Court to deny the relief requested by the City of Detroit and enter an order preserving Claim Number 2883.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "LaJeff Woodberry", written over a horizontal line.

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Copy of written response to Debtor's Objection was hand delivered to John H Simon Esq, City of Detroit at his place of business on June 18, 2014.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "LaJeff Woodberry", written over a horizontal line.